	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/693,950	NORMAN, DREW VAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alison K. Pickard	3676
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u>·</u>
(1) <u>Alison K. Pickard</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Stephen Fabry</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 May 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.	·	
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: all of record		
Prior art documents discussed: . n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Mikaid		
(Examiner/ŠPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called with suggestions to put the claims in better (clearer) condition for allowance. The suggestions were approved and entered via examiner's amendment. Claim 10 was also amended to fix the dependancy, since claim 5 already had the same language.